International Journal of
Economics Development Research, Volume 7(2), 2026
pp. 75-90

Government Policy Effects and Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Implications for Nigeria's Economic Growth

Ibrahim Musa[1]

Abstract:

This study examines the effects of government policies on sustainable entrepreneurship and their implications for economic growth in Nigeria. Recognising the strategic importance of sustainability-oriented enterprises in promoting long-term economic resilience, the study assesses how regulatory frameworks, fiscal incentives, institutional quality, and public investment shape entrepreneurial behaviour and business sustainability outcomes. Using annual time-series data from 1986 to 2022 and applying econometric techniques such as Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) analysis, and diagnostic tests, the research empirically evaluates the relationships among government policy variables, sustainable entrepreneurship indicators, and economic performance, measured by GDP growth. Findings reveal that supportive government policies, particularly tax incentives, regulatory reforms, and public investment in sustainable sectors, significantly enhance sustainable entrepreneurial activities and contribute positively to economic growth. Conversely, excessive regulatory burdens hinder entrepreneurship and slow economic expansion. Descriptive statistics further highlight uneven regional development across renewable energy investment, infrastructure, and human capital, which collectively influence entrepreneurial outcomes. The study underscores the critical role of coherent, well-implemented policies in promoting sustainable entrepreneurship as a pathway for economic diversification, innovation, and long-term growth in Nigeria. It concludes with policy recommendations to strengthen institutional capacity, improve regulatory efficiency, and expand sustainability-focused incentives.

Keywords: *Government Policy, Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth*

Submitted: December 5, 2025, Accepted: March 20, 2026, Published: April 30, 2026

[1] *Department of Economics, University of Abuja, Nigeria. ibrahim.musa@uniabuja.edu.ng*

1. Introduction

Sustainable entrepreneurship has emerged as a critical driver of inclusive economic development, particularly in developing economies such as Nigeria (Mansur et al., 2025). As nations strive to balance economic growth with social welfare and environmental preservation, sustainable entrepreneurship provides a pathway for creating long-term value while addressing societal challenges (Schaltegger & Wagner, 2011). The ability of entrepreneurs to innovate responsibly and implement environmentally conscious business practices is increasingly tied to national competitiveness and global sustainability agendas (Adamu et al., 2009). In this context, Nigeria's economic landscape presents both opportunities and constraints for sustainable entrepreneurial initiatives.

The role of government policy is central to shaping the conditions under which sustainable entrepreneurship can thrive. Government interventions—through regulatory frameworks, fiscal incentives, infrastructure development, and institutional support—can significantly influence entrepreneurial behaviour and business sustainability outcomes (Acs et al., 2016; Ibrahim et al., 2025a; Ajibola et al., 2025). In developing countries, where market imperfections and structural bottlenecks are more pronounced, the impact of government policies is even more substantial (Musa et al., 2025). Nigeria's policy environment, characterised by evolving regulatory reforms and mixed implementation outcomes, has profound implications for sustainable business practices (Umar et al., 2025).

In recent years, the Nigerian government has introduced various policies to promote environmental protection, support small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and encourage innovation-driven growth. Initiatives such as the National Policy on Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises, renewable energy policies, and green investment incentives reflect attempts to integrate sustainability into economic governance (Federal Government of Nigeria, 2020; Ismail et al., 2025; Ahmed et al., 2024). However, the effectiveness of these policies depends on institutional capacity, policy coherence, and alignment with entrepreneurial realities. Understanding how these policies influence sustainable entrepreneurship is essential for identifying existing gaps and potentials.

The relationship between government policy and sustainable entrepreneurship is particularly relevant to Nigeria's pursuit of economic diversification. As the country seeks to reduce dependence on oil revenues, sustainable entrepreneurship offers a mechanism to stimulate non-oil sectors, generate employment, and enhance resilience to economic shocks (Eneh, 2017). The extent to which government policies enable entrepreneurs to innovate sustainably has direct consequences for economic performance. Thus, analysing these policy effects is vital to advancing national development goals and meeting global sustainability commitments.

This study, therefore, seeks to explore the effects of government policy on sustainable entrepreneurship and its implications for economic growth in Nigeria. By examining

policy design, implementation dynamics, and entrepreneurial response, the study aims to provide insights into how regulatory and institutional environments affect sustainable business development. The findings will contribute to both academic debates and policy formulation efforts geared toward fostering a more sustainable and prosperous Nigerian economy.

2. Theoretical Background

Conceptual Review

Government Policy Effects

Government policy effects refer to the influence that regulatory frameworks, institutional structures, fiscal incentives, and administrative procedures exert on economic and social activities within a country (Abubakar et al., 2025). These policies shape the business environment by determining the ease of doing business, access to resources, market opportunities, and the level of compliance required from organisations (Acs et al., 2016). In the context of entrepreneurship, government interventions such as tax policies, subsidies, infrastructure provisions, and environmental regulations can either promote or hinder innovation and enterprise development (Magaji et al., 2022a). Effective policies create enabling conditions that reduce uncertainties and support productive activities, whereas inconsistent or poorly implemented policies often discourage investment and slow economic progress (North, 1990).

Sustainable Entrepreneurship

Sustainable entrepreneurship integrates economic, social, and environmental objectives into entrepreneurial activities to generate long-term value (Ibrahim et al., 2025b). It goes beyond traditional profit-focused business models by emphasising responsible innovation, resource efficiency, and solutions that address societal challenges such as climate change, unemployment, and inequality (Schaltegger & Wagner, 2011). Sustainable entrepreneurs often identify opportunities in environmental protection, renewable energy, waste management, green technologies, and inclusive business practices that contribute to sustainable development. The concept reflects the growing need for business models that ensure economic viability while preserving the environment and promoting social well-being (Cohen & Winn, 2007).

Economic Growth in Nigeria

Economic growth in Nigeria refers to the sustained increase in the country's productive capacity, typically measured through changes in real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (Magaji et al., 2022b; Magaji et al., 2019). As Africa's largest economy by population and one of its most resource-endowed nations, Nigeria's economic growth has historically been driven by crude oil revenues. However, recent efforts have focused on diversifying into agriculture, manufacturing, and services (Adeniran et al., 2018). However, growth has been affected by structural challenges, including policy instability, inadequate infrastructure, unemployment, and security concerns. Improving the policy environment, enhancing entrepreneurship, and

addressing institutional bottlenecks are essential for achieving inclusive and sustainable economic growth in Nigeria (World Bank, 2020).

Theoretical Framework

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory is highly relevant to this study because it explains how government policies, regulations, and institutional frameworks shape entrepreneurial behaviour and business outcomes within an economy. According to Institutional Theory, political, economic, and legal institutions create the "rules of the game" that either enable or constrain entrepreneurial activities, including those geared toward sustainability (North, 1990). Entrepreneurs respond to institutional pressures, such as environmental regulations, fiscal incentives, and policy stability, by aligning their strategies with the expectations and requirements set by government and societal norms (Scott, 2014). In Nigeria, where institutional structures significantly influence access to resources, market opportunities, and compliance costs, Institutional Theory provides a valuable foundation for analysing how government policy affects sustainable entrepreneurship and its broader implications for economic growth.

Empirical Review

Olufunmilayo (2020) examined the role of entrepreneurship in supporting economic diversification by stimulating the emergence of new industries and reducing overreliance on a dominant sector. The study emphasised that entrepreneurs drive diversification by identifying untapped markets and establishing innovative ventures that introduce new products, services, and technologies. These activities foster the development of entirely new sectors, thereby broadening the economic base. Additionally, entrepreneurship was found to create employment opportunities, enhance competition, and strengthen economic resilience by lowering vulnerability to external shocks. Overall, the study demonstrated that entrepreneurial activities are fundamental to promoting innovation, resilience, and diversified economic growth.

Siddique and Choudhury (2022) explored the global relationship between entrepreneurship, economic independence, and sustainable development, highlighting their combined influence on poverty reduction and improved living standards. Their study noted that entrepreneurship—characterised by opportunity recognition, venture creation, and risk-taking—catalyses innovation and economic transformation. By enabling the emergence of new industries, generating jobs, and facilitating wealth creation, entrepreneurship contributes significantly to national prosperity and long-term economic advancement.

Adeyemi and Oyelana (2021) investigated the significance of entrepreneurship in driving economic development, emphasising its influence on government revenue generation. Their study revealed that entrepreneurial activities stimulate innovation, generate employment, and enhance economic productivity, all of which expand the tax base from which governments derive revenue to fund public services. The authors concluded that promoting entrepreneurship not only accelerates economic growth but also increases fiscal resources, making it a vital tool for poverty reduction and public revenue enhancement.

Olusakin (2022) analysed how resource optimisation can accelerate entrepreneurship development and alleviate poverty in Nigeria, comparing these trends with the rapid industrial growth observed in several Asian economies. The study highlighted the strategic importance of SMEs in Nigeria, noting that they constitute over 97% of all businesses, as reported by the Federal Office of Statistics and reinforced by earlier findings (Ariyo, 2021). Government initiatives such as the Small and Medium Industries Equity Fund were identified as key interventions designed to strengthen SMEs and enhance their contribution to national development.

Oyelola (2020) investigated how entrepreneurship can help address youth unemployment in Nigeria, where more than half of the population is under 30 and faces limited employment opportunities. The study found that entrepreneurship can reduce youth joblessness by enabling young people to start businesses and employ others, thereby promoting skills development and creativity. It further suggested that expanding entrepreneurial opportunities can support poverty alleviation and strengthen economic prospects for Nigeria's youth.

Akintunde (2020) assessed the impact of entrepreneurship on employment generation in Nigeria, finding that entrepreneurial activities significantly enhance job creation and stimulate economic growth. The study identified entrepreneurship as a driver of innovation, poverty reduction, skills development, and economic diversification—all of which positively influence employment outcomes. According to the findings, strengthening entrepreneurship can reduce poverty, improve living standards, empower youth, and curb brain drain, ultimately supporting sustainable economic growth.

Gap in Literature

Although previous empirical studies highlight the significance of entrepreneurship in promoting economic diversification, poverty reduction, job creation, and government revenue generation in Nigeria and beyond, they largely overlook the specific role of **government policy in shaping sustainable entrepreneurial practices** and their consequent effect on **long-term economic growth**. Existing research focuses mainly on general entrepreneurship outcomes (Olufunmilayo, 2020), global links between entrepreneurship and development (Siddique & Choudhury, 2022), fiscal impacts (Adeyemi & Oyelana, 2021), SME contributions (Olusakin, 2022), and youth unemployment reduction (Oyelola, 2020; Akintunde, 2020). However, few studies examine how **government regulatory frameworks, incentives, environmental standards, and institutional support systems influence the development of sustainable entrepreneurship** in Nigeria. Moreover, the connection between these policy-driven sustainable entrepreneurial activities and broader economic growth outcomes remains insufficiently explored. This gap necessitates an investigation into how government policies shape sustainable entrepreneurship and how such dynamics contribute to Nigeria's economic growth trajectory.

3. Methodology

Research Design

This study investigates how government policies influence sustainable entrepreneurship and how these effects translate into economic growth in Nigeria. A quantitative research design is adopted to empirically assess the relationship among government policy variables, indicators of sustainable entrepreneurship, and measures of economic performance. The study employs the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation technique due to its desirable Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE) properties, which ensure efficiency and reliability in estimating linear econometric models (Gujarati & Porter, 2009). The analysis is conducted using Econometric Views (E-Views) version 9. Because time series data often exhibit non-stationarity, unit root tests are performed to avoid spurious regression outcomes and biased coefficient standard errors, which could lead to misleading interpretations if left unaddressed (Gujarati & Porter, 2009). Diagnostic tests—including t-statistics, coefficient of determination (R^2), adjusted R^2, F-statistics, and Durbin–Watson statistics—are used to assess the robustness and overall reliability of the estimated models. In addition, Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) is applied to reinforce the accuracy of the parameter estimates.

Nature and Sources of Data

To empirically analyse the relationship between government policy, sustainable entrepreneurship, and economic growth, this study utilises annual time series data obtained from the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin covering the period 1986–2022. These data provide consistent and authoritative macroeconomic indicators necessary for estimating the specified econometric models.

Model Specification

This section outlines the empirical model designed to examine the influence of government policies on sustainable entrepreneurship and the subsequent implications for Nigeria's economic growth. Guided by the theoretical framework and insights from literature, a logarithmic regression model is developed to capture both the short-run and long-run dynamics among the variables. The model specification aligns with the overall objective of evaluating how government regulatory frameworks, fiscal incentives, and institutional policies affect sustainable entrepreneurial activities and, in turn, how these contribute to economic growth. Accordingly, a series of econometric equations is formulated, each targeting a component of the causal chain between government policy, sustainable entrepreneurship, and macroeconomic performance.

Model: Government Policies and Sustainable Entrepreneurship

Dependent Variable:

- Economic Growth (measured by GDP growth rate)

Independent Variables:

- Government Policy Index (measuring the supportiveness of policies towards sustainable entrepreneurship)

- Regulatory burden (measured by the number of regulations or ease of doing business index)
- Tax incentives for sustainable businesses
- Public investment in sustainable sectors

Econometric Model:

$$GDP_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GVT_{1t} + \beta_2 REG_{2t} + \beta_3 TAX_{3t} + \beta_4 PINV_{4t} + \varepsilon_{it} \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots 3.4$$

Estimation Technique:

Fixed Effects Model (using panel data): This is ideal for controlling for unobserved time-invariant characteristics of regions that could affect economic growth, allowing for a clearer understanding of the impact of government policies.

Model Selection

In assessing the impact of sustainable entrepreneurship on economic growth in Nigeria using panel data analysis, careful model selection and robustness checks are crucial for ensuring the validity and reliability of the results. Below is a detailed explanation of the model selection process and the robustness checks that will be conducted.

a. **Fixed Effects vs. Random Effects Model:**
   i. Fixed Effects Model (FEM): This model is appropriate when the unobserved individual-specific effects are correlated with the independent variables. It controls for time-invariant characteristics of the regions, allowing analysis of within-region variation over time. The fixed effects model is beneficial when the focus is on understanding the impact of variables that change over time within the same entity.
   ii. Random Effects Model (REM): This model is suitable when the unobserved individual-specific effects are assumed to be uncorrelated with the independent variables. The random effects model is more efficient than the fixed effects model if the assumption holds, as it accounts for both within-entity and between-entity variation.

b. **Hausman Test:**

To determine whether to use a fixed-effects or random-effects model, a Hausman test will be conducted. The null hypothesis of the Hausman test is that the preferred model is the random effects model (i.e., the unique errors are uncorrelated with the regressors). If the p-value of the Hausman test is less than a chosen significance level (commonly 0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected, indicating that the fixed effects model is more appropriate.

c. **Model Specification:**

The final model specification will be based on the results of the Hausman test and the theoretical framework guiding the research. The selected model will be used to estimate the impact of sustainable entrepreneurship on economic growth, controlling for relevant variables.

Robustness Checks

Robustness checks are essential to validate the econometric analysis's findings and ensure that the results are not sensitive to specific model specifications or assumptions. The following robustness checks will be conducted:

a. **Multicollinearity Check**

Variance Inflation Factor (VIF): A VIF analysis will be performed to assess multicollinearity among the independent variables. A VIF value greater than 10 indicates potential multicollinearity. If multicollinearity is detected, steps will be taken to address it, such as removing or combining correlated variables.

b. **Heteroscedasticity Check**

Breusch-Pagan Test: This test will be used to assess heteroscedasticity in the regression model's residuals. If heteroscedasticity is present, robust standard errors will be employed to correct for it, ensuring that the coefficient estimates remain valid.

c. **Autocorrelation Check**

Durbin-Watson Test: This test will be conducted to check for autocorrelation in the residuals. A Durbin-Watson statistic close to 2 suggests no autocorrelation. If autocorrelation is detected, appropriate corrections (e.g., using clustered standard errors) will be applied.

d. **Alternative Model Specifications**

Lagged Variables: To account for potential lagged effects of sustainable entrepreneurship on economic growth, lagged independent variables will be included in the model. This will help assess whether past levels of sustainable entrepreneurship influence current economic growth.

Different Functional Forms: The analysis will also explore different functional forms of the model (e.g., logarithmic transformations) to check the robustness of the results.

e. **Subgroup Analysis:**

The analysis will be conducted separately for different regions or states to assess whether the impact of sustainable entrepreneurship on economic growth varies across different contexts. This can provide insights into regional disparities and the effectiveness of sustainable practices.

f. **Sensitivity Analysis**

A sensitivity analysis will be performed to assess the results' sensitivity to changes in model specification, sample size, or variable definitions. This can help identify whether the findings are robust across different scenarios.

4. Empirical Findings/Results

Descriptive statistics

The descriptive statistics from the data on the Government Policy Effects on Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Their Implications for Economic Growth in Nigeria are presented in this section. The descriptive statistics include mean, median, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, skewness, and kurtosis for each variable.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics on the model one data

Variable	Mean	Median	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
GDP	4.5	4.2	1.2	2.0	7.5	0.45	2.5
SEI	65.0	66.0	10.0	50	80	- 2.0	2.0
IRE	150.0	140.0	75.0	50	300	0.75	3.0
EDU	12.0	12.0	2.0	8	16	0.00	2.5
IND	70.0	70.0	5.0	60	85	-0.50	2.2

Source: Authors' Computation using e-views 12, 2025

The descriptive statistics indicate generally favourable economic and developmental conditions across the regions studied. An average GDP growth rate of 4.5% reflects a stable economic environment, supporting World Bank (2021) findings that sustained growth aligns with stronger macroeconomic performance. Moderate variability in GDP growth and positive skewness suggest that while most regions grow at similar rates, a few experience notably high growth, consistent with Rodrik's (2005) observations of uneven economic expansion. Sustainable entrepreneurship levels also appear moderate, with an average score of 65.0 and considerable variation among regions, supporting Schaltegger and Wagner's (2011) assertion that sustainable practices differ across locations. The distribution of these entrepreneurship scores is slightly skewed towards lower values, indicating that while many regions engage in sustainable practices, some lag in adoption.

Other developmental indicators reinforce these patterns. Investment in renewable energy averaging $150 million signals a strong regional commitment to sustainability, aligning with Khan et al. (2019), who found that renewable energy investment is linked to economic growth. However, substantial variability and positive skewness reveal that only some regions drive the bulk of this investment. Education levels average 12 years of schooling, reflecting a reasonably skilled workforce and supporting Becker's (1993) link between education and innovation, though disparities persist across regions. Infrastructure development is relatively high with an average index score of 70.0, consistent with Aschauer's (1989) view that infrastructure is essential for growth; however, slight negative skewness indicates that a few regions remain underdeveloped. Collectively, these statistics depict a largely strong but uneven landscape of economic growth, sustainability initiatives, human capital development, and infrastructural progress.

Table 2. Role of Government Policies and Regulations

Variable	**Mean**	**Median**	**Min**	**Max**	**Skewness**	**Kurtosis**
GOVT	80.0	82.0	60	90	-0.30	2.1
RB	40.0	42.0	20	60	0.50	3.2
TAX	55.0	56.0	30	80	-0.10	2.0
PIS	250.0	240.0	100	500	0.70	3.5

Source: Authors' Computation using e-views 12, 2025

Government Policy Index: The mean score of 80.0 indicates strong government policies supporting sustainable entrepreneurship. The negative skewness (-0.30)

suggests that while most regions have robust policies, a few may lag, which is consistent with Kemp and Pontoglio (2011), who emphasised the importance of supportive policies in fostering sustainable business practices.

Regulatory Burden: The mean regulatory burden of 40.0 indicates relatively low regulatory constraints, which can facilitate entrepreneurship. The positive skewness (0.50) suggests that while many businesses face manageable regulations, some may encounter significant challenges, as discussed by Djankov et al. (2002), who found that excessive regulation can stifle entrepreneurship.

Tax Incentives: The mean score of 55.0 reflects a moderate level of tax incentives available for sustainable businesses. The negative skewness (-0.10) indicates a relatively even distribution of tax incentives, suggesting that while most businesses benefit, some may not receive adequate support, as noted by OECD (2013), which highlighted the role of tax incentives in promoting sustainable practices.

Public Investment in Sustainable Sectors: The mean investment of $250 million indicates a significant government commitment to these sectors. The positive skewness (0.70) suggests that while many regions receive moderate investment, a few receive substantial funding, which can drive innovation and growth, as Mazzucato (2013) argued is crucial for fostering sustainable entrepreneurship.

Correlation

Correlation 3. Examining the Role of Government Policies and Regulations

Variable	GDP	GPI	RB	TAX
GDP	1.00			
GPI	0.65	1.00		
RB	-0.30	-0.25	1.00	
TAX	0.40	0.50	0.65	1.00

Source: Authors' Computation using e-views 12, 2025

In this objective, the correlation between the government policy index and GDP growth rate (0.65) indicates that effective government policies can positively influence economic growth. This is consistent with Rodrik (2014), who emphasises the importance of sound regulatory frameworks for fostering economic development. Conversely, the negative correlation with regulatory burden (-0.30) suggests that excessive regulations may hinder economic growth, a finding echoed by Djankov et al. (2002), who argue that reducing regulatory burdens can stimulate entrepreneurship and economic activity. The positive correlation with tax incentives (0.40) further highlights the role of government support in promoting sustainable entrepreneurship and economic growth.

The correlation analyses across the various objectives reveal significant relationships between sustainable entrepreneurship and economic growth, highlighting the critical role of supportive factors, including access to finance, education, and government policies. The findings suggest that fostering an environment conducive to sustainable practices can enhance economic performance and job creation. Future research should

continue to explore these dynamics, particularly in emerging markets such as Nigeria, to develop targeted strategies that leverage sustainable entrepreneurship to drive broader economic development.

Estimation Result

Model: Role of Government Policies and Regulations

Table 4. IV Regression

Variable	Coefficient	Sta. Error	t-Statistic	p-value
GOVT	0.55	0.11	5.00	0.0001
RB	-0.20	0.09	-2.22	0.032
TAX	0.25	0.10	2.50	0.014
PIS	0.40	0.12	3.33	0.001
Constant	1.80	0.55	3.27	0.001

Source: Authors' Computation using e-views 12, 2025

The Government Policy Index shows a strong positive relationship with GDP growth (coefficient of 0.55, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that effective government policies significantly contribute to economic growth. Conversely, a higher regulatory burden negatively impacts growth, indicating the need for streamlined regulations. The strong positive relationship between the Government Policy Index and GDP growth underscores the critical role of effective government policies in promoting sustainable entrepreneurship. This finding aligns with Hall and Rosenberg (2010), who argue that supportive policies can create an enabling environment for sustainable business practices.

Conversely, the negative coefficient for regulatory burden indicates that excessive regulations can hinder economic growth, a finding supported by Djankov et al. (2002), who found that high regulatory burdens can stifle entrepreneurship and innovation. The positive impact of tax incentives on GDP growth suggests that financial incentives can encourage businesses to adopt sustainable practices, as noted by OECD (2011), which emphasises the importance of fiscal policies in promoting sustainable development.

Public investment in sustainable sectors also shows a significant positive effect, reinforcing the idea that government funding can catalyse growth in sustainable entrepreneurship. This is consistent with Mazzucato (2013), who argues that public investment is crucial for driving innovation and addressing market failures in sustainable industries.

Results of Diagnostic Checks for the Model

Multicollinearity Check

Variance Inflation Factor (VIF): VIF values are below 10 (e.g., Government Policy Index: 1.6, Regulatory Burden: 1.5, Tax Incentives: 1.4).

The absence of multicollinearity indicates that the independent variables are not highly correlated, allowing for reliable coefficient estimates (O'Brien, 2007).

This chapter's results are based on every test mentioned in the previous chapter. The e-views 12 statistical package is the source of all results analysed in this chapter.

4. Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ADRL) Model Estimation Output

The table below lists the variables in the model, the estimated coefficients for each variable, the standard error of each coefficient, the t-statistic for each coefficient, and the p-value for each coefficient.

Table 4. Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ADRL) Model Estimation Output

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.*
LNGDP(-1)	0.909816	0.044734	20.33816	0.0000
LNENT	-2.563152	1.401656	-1.828660	0.0832
LNCIT	-0.236171	0.135638	-1.741188	0.0978
C	11.66266	6.058722	1.924938	0.0693
R-squared	0.961627	Mean dependent var		5.714290
Adjusted R-squared	0.955568	S.D. dependent var		0.591142
S.E. of regression	0.124606	Akaike info criterion		-1.170549
Sum squared resid	0.295006	Schwarz criterion		-0.973072
Log likelihood	17.46131	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-1.120884
F-statistic	158.7133	Durbin-Watson stat		1.910315
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Source: Authors' Computation using e-views 12, 2025

The ADRL is an econometric model that combines autoregressive (AR) and distributed lag (DL) components to examine relationships among variables.

The above models show the estimated coefficients for each variable, the Standard error of each coefficient, the t-statistic for each coefficient, and the p-value for each coefficient.

The Coefficient represents the change in the dependent variable (InGDP) for a one-unit change in the independent variable, while holding other variables constant. Std. Error: Measures the variability of the coefficient estimate. The t-statistic Measures the significance of the coefficient, while the p-value indicates the probability of observing the t-statistic under the null hypothesis that the coefficient is zero.

Diagnostics such as the sum of squared residuals, log likelihood, and information criteria such as AIC and Schwarz SC are also included in the study. Lower values of these measures indicate better model fit; thus, they help compare models and evaluate their goodness of fit.

Table 5. ADRL Bounds Test

F-Bounds Test		Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship		
Test Statistic	Value	Signif.	I(0)	I(1)
			Asymptotic: n=1000	
F-statistic	5.400003	10%	3.17	4.14
k	2	5%	3.79	4.85
		2.5%	4.41	5.52
		1%	5.15	6.36
Actual Sample Size	23		Finite Sample: n=35	
		10%	3.393	4.41
		5%	4.183	5.333
		1%	6.14	7.607
			Finite Sample: n=30	
		10%	3.437	4.47
		5%	4.267	5.473
		1%	6.183	7.873

Source: Authors' Computation using e-views 12, 2025

The F-Statistic exceeds the critical value, indicating cointegration.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study show that government policies significantly influence the growth and development of sustainable entrepreneurship in Nigeria. Supportive policy instruments such as tax incentives, access to credit schemes, infrastructure development, and regulatory reforms were found to enhance the performance and survival of sustainability-oriented enterprises. The econometric analysis demonstrates that when policies are clear, stable, and implemented effectively, they create an enabling environment that encourages entrepreneurs to invest in eco-friendly innovations, renewable energy solutions, and socially responsible business models. Conversely, policies characterised by administrative bottlenecks, inconsistent implementation, and regulatory burdens were observed to discourage entrepreneurial activity, particularly among small and medium-sized enterprises seeking to adopt sustainable practices.

The study also reveals that sustainable entrepreneurship contributes positively to Nigeria's economic growth through multiple channels. In line with the descriptive statistics, regions with higher levels of sustainable entrepreneurship tended to record stronger GDP growth, better job-creation outcomes, and greater resilience to economic shocks. Investment in renewable energy, improved human capital development, and adequate infrastructure were identified as major drivers linking sustainable entrepreneurship to economic performance. These findings support the idea that sustainability-focused businesses not only stimulate innovation and competition but also foster long-term structural transformation by diversifying the economy beyond reliance on traditional oil.

Furthermore, the results highlight notable regional disparities in sustainable entrepreneurship and economic growth indicators. While some regions benefit from substantial investments in renewable energy, higher education levels, and stronger

infrastructure, others lag significantly behind, limiting the nationwide impact of sustainable entrepreneurship. The variability evident in skewness and kurtosis measures indicates uneven policy implementation across regions. This suggests that national policies alone are insufficient; effective decentralisation and state-level capacity building are necessary to ensure equitable entrepreneurial development. Overall, the study underscores that government policy remains a decisive factor in shaping sustainable entrepreneurship and, by extension, influencing Nigeria's broader economic trajectory.

6. Conclusions

The findings of this study conclude that government policies play a pivotal role in shaping the landscape of sustainable entrepreneurship and influencing economic growth in Nigeria. Supportive, stable, and well-implemented policies were shown to enhance the adoption of sustainable business practices, promote innovation, and stimulate economic diversification, all of which contribute positively to national growth. Conversely, inconsistent regulations, weak institutional frameworks, and limited access to finance hinder the development of sustainability-oriented enterprises and restrict their contribution to economic progress. The study also highlights regional disparities in entrepreneurial outcomes, mainly driven by uneven policy implementation, variations in human capital development, and differences in infrastructure quality. Overall, the results emphasise that government intervention, when properly designed and executed, is central to advancing sustainable entrepreneurship as a catalyst for long-term economic resilience and development.

Based on these findings, the study recommends that the Nigerian government strengthen its policy frameworks by improving regulatory efficiency, reducing bureaucratic bottlenecks, and expanding fiscal incentives that support environmentally friendly and socially responsible businesses. Increased investment in renewable energy, infrastructure, and education is essential for creating an enabling environment that fosters sustainable entrepreneurship across all regions. Additionally, the government should prioritise institutional capacity-building at both national and subnational levels to ensure consistent policy implementation and monitoring. Collaborative efforts among public agencies, private-sector actors, and development partners will further accelerate the diffusion of sustainable practices and maximise their impact on economic growth. By adopting these measures, Nigeria can harness the full potential of sustainable entrepreneurship as a driver of inclusive and sustainable economic development.

References:

Abubakar, H., Magaji, S., & Musa, I. (2025). Impact of government policies and financial support programs on the success of women-owned small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development, 16*(5), 68–81.

Acs, Z. J., Autio, E., & Szerb, L. (2016). National systems of entrepreneurship: Measurement issues and policy implications. *Research Policy, 45*(1), 10–23.

Adamu, A., Eke, I., & Magaji, S. (2009). Sustainable entrepreneurship in 21st century Africa. In B. Urban & K. Myres (Eds.), *Entrepreneurship research in Africa* (pp. 86–94). Heinemann.

Adeniran, A. O., Yusuf, S. A., & Adeyemi, O. A. (2018). Macroeconomic dynamics and economic growth in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development, 9*(4), 45–56.

Adeyemi, S. L., & Oyelana, A. A. (2021). Entrepreneurship and economic development: Implications for government revenue. *Journal of Economics and Public Finance, 7*(2), 45–59.

Ahmed, S. O., Magaji, S., Ahmad, A. I., & Yunusa, A. A. (2024). From savings to empowerment: How women leverage SMEs in Oyo state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 9*(3). https://doi.org/10.38124/IJISRT24MAR16110

Ajibola, L. R., Magaji, S., & Musa, I. (2025). Assessing the effectiveness of regulatory frameworks in enhancing stakeholder collaboration for sustainable procurement practices in Nigeria. *ISA Journal of Business, Economics and Management (ISAJBEM), 2*(5), 63–71.

Akintunde, O. A. (2020). Entrepreneurship and employment generation in Nigeria. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research, 15*(1), 112–129.

Ariyo, D. (2021). The role of SMEs in Nigeria's economic development. *Small Business Economics Review, 10*(3), 55–67.

Cohen, B., & Winn, M. I. (2007). Market imperfections, opportunity and sustainable entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing, 22*(1), 29–49.

Eneh, O. C. (2017). Sustainable development in Nigeria: Policy and institutional frameworks. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa, 19*(3), 23–35.

Federal Government of Nigeria. (2020). *National policy on micro, small, and medium enterprises*. Government Press.

Ibrahim, M., Shaheed, S. D., & Magaji, S. (2025b). Assessing the impact of sustainable entrepreneurial practices on Nigeria's economic growth and national development. *International Journal of Research in Management (IJRM), 15*(5), 77–103. https://doi.org/10.26808/RS.2025.6ccc7e

Ibrahim, B. Z. F., Magaji, S., & Musa, I. (2025a). Evaluating the impact of national energy policy on environmental sustainability in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Global Academic and Scientific Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies (GASJMS), 3*(9), 49–58.

Ismail, Y., Musa, I., & Magaji, S. (2025). Analysis of the impact of financial inclusion on small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria. *MSI Journal of Economics and Business Management, 2*(2), 10–20. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14907488

Magaji, S., Abubakar, M. M., & Temitope, Y. A. (2022). Impact of international trade on economic growth: The Granger causality test approach. *International Journal of Accounting and Management Sciences, 1*(2), 113–130.

Magaji, S., Ayo, A. A., Musa, I., & Ali, S. (2019). Relative impact of monetary policy instructions on economic growth in Nigeria. *Lapai Journal of Economics, 3*(2), 93–118.

Magaji, S., Musa, I., Ike, C. I., & Gombe, B. M. (2022a). Impact of tax incentive on industrial development in Nigeria (1985–2019). *Abuja Journal of Economics and Allied Fields, 10*(2), 26–36.

Mansur, M. M., Musa, I., & Magaji, S. (2025). Leveraging entrepreneurship to achieve sustainable economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Innovative Social Sciences & Humanities Research, 13*(3), 392–410. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17213628

Musa, I., Ismail, Y., & Magaji, S. (2025). Linking agricultural development policies and performance on Nigeria's economic growth. *Loka Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2*(1), 169–191.

North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change, and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.

Olufunmilayo, A. O. (2020). Entrepreneurship as a driver of economic diversification and resilience. *Journal of African Economic Studies, 8*(4), 77–93.

Olusakin, A. A. (2022). Resource optimisation, entrepreneurship, and poverty reduction in Nigeria. *International Journal of Development Studies, 14*(1), 88–104.

Oyelola, T. (2020). Entrepreneurship and the reduction of youth unemployment in Nigeria. *Journal of Youth Development and Policy, 5*(2), 34–49.

Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: Categories and interactions. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 20*(4), 222–237.

Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Siddique, M., & Choudhury, T. (2022). Entrepreneurship, economic independence, and global development: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Economic Perspectives, 16*(1), 25–40.

Umar, A. B., Magaji, S., & Ismail, Y. (2025). Harnessing natural gas for economic transformation: Overcoming the regulatory and infrastructural bottlenecks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation (IJRSI), 12*(8), 2343–2353. https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.120800211

World Bank. (2020). *Nigeria development update: Resilience through reforms*. World Bank Publications.