

DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR EMPLOYEE BONUS DETERMINATION WITH WEB-BASED SIMPLE ADDITIVE WEIGHTING (SAW) METHOD IN PT. MAYATAMA SOLUSINDO

Yuda Irawan

STMIK Hang Tuah Pekanbaru yudairawan89@gmail.com

Received : 13 October 2020, Revised: 10 November 2020, Accepted : 10 November 2020

ABSTRACT

Decision Support System is a computerized system designed to increase effectiveness in decision making to solve semi-structured and unstructured problems so that the decision-making process can be of higher quality. One method of solving MADM problems is by using the Simple Additive Weighting method. The SAW method is to find the weighted sum of the performance ratings for each alternative of all attributes. This study aims to design and create a system to determine which employees are entitled to receive bonuses, for that we need a decision support for giving employee bonuses decisions. In this study using the Simple Additive Weighting method. The system development model used is a waterfall. Waterfall has several stages, namely needs analysis, system design, writing program code, program testing, program implementation and maintenance. The results showed the benefits of the SAW method as a decision support system for determining employee bonuses based on the employee performance of PT. Mayatama Solusindo can assist administrators in determining employee bonuses quickly and effectively. So the bonus that employees get using the SAW method is the basic salary times the percentage of the ranking value.

Keywords : Decision Support Systems, Employee Bonuses, Simple Additive Weighting (SAW)

1. Introduction

Decision Support System is a computerized system designed to increase effectiveness in decision making to solve semi-structured and unstructured problems so that in the decisionmaking process can be done more quality. Decision Support Systems are widely used by companies for the continuation of the company's business in the future. Determining and taking the right decisions by the company can benefit all stakeholders in the company. Decision Support Systems are part of computer-based information systems including knowledge-based systems or knowledge management that are used to support decision making in an organization or company. It can also be said to be a computer system that processes data into information to make decisions from specific semi-structured problems (Fauzan et al, 2017).

The system for determining the giving of bonuses to employees at PT. Mayatama Solusindo is still done manually. The number of bonuses so far has not been in accordance with the employee's performance and predetermined criteria. So far, the employee performance appraisal process for bonus recipients has been carried out by the Administration and Managers. Administrative staff and managers check one by one the criteria that are used as basic guidelines in making decisions to determine which employees receive a bonus each year. The current appraisal process takes a long time, starting from selecting, weighing and deciding which employees are eligible for bonuses for one year of work. Therefore we need a computerized system in order to overcome all these problems and be able to ease the duties of administrative employees and managers(Fauzi, et. al, 2016).

Decision Support System is a system that can provide solutions, especially in decision making and PT. Mayatama Solusindo can implement a Decision Support System as a tool for PT. Mayatama Solusindo in making decisions about giving bonuses to employees in accordance with the existing assessment criteria in the company. So that the goals of the decision support system can be achieved properly, it is assisted by using one of the methods in decision making, namely the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method(Jaberidoost, et. al., 2015).

One method of solving the MADM (Multiple Attribute Decision Making) problem is by using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method. The SAW method is often also known as a weighted addition method. The basic concept of the SAW method is to find a weighted sum of the performance ratings for each alternative of all attributes. The SAW method requires a decision matrix normalization process (X) on a scale that can be compared with all existing alternative ratings(Meta, 2018). This method is the most well-known and most widely used method in dealing with Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM) situations. MADM itself is a method used to find optimal alternatives from a number of alternatives with certain criteria. This SAW method requires the decision maker to determine the weight for each attribute. The total score for the alternatives is obtained by adding up all the multiplication results between the rating (which can be compared across attributes) and the weight of each attribute. The rating of each attribute must be dimension-free in the sense that it has passed the previous matrix normalization process (Adianto et al, 2017).

2. Literature Review

Decision Support System is a system that is used as a problem solving tool to assist decision makers (managers) in making decisions but not to replace the capacity of managers to only provide consideration. Turban states that a decision support system is intended for decisions that require judgment or decisions that cannot be supported by an algorithm at all. This definition does not yet provide a specific description that a computer-based decision support system will operate interactive online, therefore various definitions of decision support systems emerge(Yazdani, et. al., 2017).

Types of Wage Payment in Indonesia according to Rokmulyati is the amount of wages is based on the length of time a person has worked. The unit of time is calculated per hour, per day, per week or per month. For example, construction workers are paid per day or per week. Wages According to Unit Results is the amount of wages is based on the number of goods produced by a person (Ikbal & Rahma, 2016).. The unit of yield is calculated per piece of goods, per unit length, or per unit weight. For example, the wages for picking tea leaves are calculated per kilogram. Wholesale Wages is the payment of wages is based on a mutual agreement between the job provider and recipient. For example, wages for repairing damaged cars, building houses, etc. This wage model must be clear, not only the amount of the agreed wage, but also how long it will take for the work assigned to piece-holders to be completed(Brata & Whidyanto, 2017).

According to Hasibuan, defining incentive wages is remuneration given to certain employees whose performance is above standard performance. The bonus system is an additional payment beyond wages or salaries which is intended to stimulate (provide incentives) so that workers can carry out their duties better and with full responsibility, with the expectation of higher profits. The higher the profit, the greater the bonus given to workers(Ichniowski & Shaw 2003).

Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) is often known as the weighted addition method. The basic concept of the SAW method is to find a weighted sum of the performance ratings for each alternative on all attributes. the SAW method is a method used to find optimal alternatives from a number of alternatives with certain criteria (Irawan, 2019).

This Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method requires the decision maker to determine the weight for each attribute. The total score for the alternatives is obtained by adding up all the multiplication results between the rating (which can be compared across attributes) and the weight of each attribute. The rating of each attribute must be dimension-free in the sense that it has passed the previous matrix normalization process(Joni, 2017).

3. Research Methods

The Waterfall method has steps in system development, namely requirements analysis, system design, writing program code, program testing, and program implementation and maintenance. The methodology for system development is a standard process used to link all the steps needed to analyze, design, implement and maintain information systems (Wahyuni,2019). The trial process is carried out by conducting experiments and proving the

features of the system that have been built. The trial aims to prove whether the system is in accordance with the needs or there are still deficiencies. The method can be seen in Figure below :



Figure 1. System Development

Analysis

The requirements analysis used in the development of this system are as follows:

- 1. In building a decision support system for determining employee bonuses using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method, information is needed in the form of employee data which is an alternative object to the employee bonus determination system.
- 2. In addition to employee data, the employee bonus determination system using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method also requires input in the form of criteria and criteria weights used to determine employee bonuses.
- 3. Determination of criteria and weight criteria in the employee bonus determination system at PT. Mayatama Solusindo.

Design

After conducting a needs analysis, the next stage is system design and software. From the requirements analysis stage, user needs have been obtained which will then be designed so that they can be understood by the software before writing the program. System design has five stages, namely: Use Case Diagrams, Activity Diagrams, Class Diagrams, Database Design and User Interface Design.

Coding

The stage of translating data or solving problems that have been designed into a specific programming language. In this study, coding was carried out using the PHP programming language and the database using Xampp.

Testing

Tests carried out on the development of this information system are testing with the black box method. If after testing there are still errors in the system, the system needs to be repaired again to meet the existing criteria. Therefore, the release of the system is carried out if the system meets the desired criteria.

Deployment

The program is implemented at PT. Mayatama Solusindo is located at Duri, Riau Province, and maintenance is carried out.

4. Results and Discussions

Result Display

The following describes the display of results from the decision support system for giving bonuses to employees using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method at PT. Mayatama Solusindo.

Form Splash Screen

The Splash Screen Form is the initial display form of the program, to run the form by logging into the program we have. This form is information from the owner of the program which can be seen in Figure 2. following:



SELAMAT DATANG DI SPK Figure 2. Splash Screen Form Display

Login Form

The login form is a form for entering a username and password so that the main menu in the program can be opened and this form protects data and programs as in Figure 2. below:

¥ SPK	
EMAIL ADDRESS	
Email	
PASSWORD	
Password	
SIGN IN	
KEMBALI KE HOME	

Figure 3. Display Login Form

Dashboard Form

This form serves to display a menu of user data, employee data, criteria data, SAW assessment data and reports as shown in Figure 4 below:



Figure 4 Display Dashboard Form

User Data Form

In this user data form access is by the admin whose function is to input user data for the SAW method decision support system at PT. Mayatama Solusindo as seen in Figure 5 below:

MAR SPK			-				0
IN	Dashbo	ard				Dashboar	rd / User / Data
Dashboard Data Pengguna	Data Use	n.					+ Add
Data Gaji	Show 10	entries				Search:	
RNATIF	No. 1	Nama 11	Email	1 Only	Login Terakhir	Foto Profil	Actions
Data Karyawan (Alternatif)	1	Administrator Ribka	admin@admin.com	Administrator	2020-06-27 12:27:15		-
HTUNGAN SAW						REED.	
Kriteria	2	Atasan Ribka	atasan@gmail.com	Atasan	2020-06-27 12:12:51		
Penilaian Atasan Hasil Penilaian Atasan							

Figure 5 Display User Data Form

Salary Data Form

This form functions to organize employee salary data each year according to the District Minimum Wage (UMK) as shown in Figure 6. the following:

	👫 SPK 🛛 🌔		U		0		ę
	USAHA	Dashboard	i				Dashboard / Upah / Dat
	Dashboard	Tambah Ga	ji	Tabel	Gaji		
0	Data Gaji	Jumlah	Rp. Jumlah	No.	Jumlah	Tahun	Actions
	RNATIF	Tahun	Tahun	1	Rp.3.041.039	2021	/ 0
	Data Karyawan (Alternatif)	Confirm		2	Rp.2.916.810	2020	
	ITUNGAN SAW						
	Kriteria			3	Rp.2.890.191	2019	
	Penilaian Alternatif						
	Laporan						

Figure 6. Display of Salary Data Form

Supervisor Assessment Data Form

In this appraisal data form, it functions to carry out the appraisal process for employees to determine employee bonuses which can be seen in Figure 7. below:

ATAS	AN	Dashboard	Dashboard / Perhitungan	
	Dashboard	i Note: Pilihan alternatif tidak akan tampil j	ika sudah dinilai, silahkan hapus terlebih dahulu ! Disini	
Data Alternatif PERHITUNGAN SAW		Penilaian Alternatif		
		Alternatif	Rudi Armansyah Hrp	Ŧ
	Penilaian Alternatif Hasil Penilaian	Complementary Team	100	
•	Hasil Penilalan Laporan	Ownership	100	
BENG	GUNA	People	85	
		Integrity	85	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Customer	85	
	cogoar	Continous Improvement	89	٥
		O Submit O Back		

Figure 7. Display of Supervisors Assessment Data Form

SAW Calculation Form

In this SAW calculation form functions to see the SAW method starting from the alternative data value table, alternative data value conversion table, normalization weight table, and bonus ratings received by each employee, can be seen in Figure 8 as follows:

PK.								
	Delike	and loss						Destroyed. / La
	1000.0							
	1.000							
				-				
	Lagrant	an Penerista						
			C Symposium					
	Nil		Alternatif					
		Name 10		Perdiden lite		Lana Balan	-	Carlo Reclagatan
	- C	34.63649		0.846(51		a-te tahun	100.96	
		Salaryad B		1445		a ta tabun	160% - 180%	
	1.1	Mark Role	and the	College (C)		- 10 Tahun	1000	
		Instant		Sale (A.W.		~ 10 Tahu		
							1000	
				Alternatif				
				Production 1		Lana B		
		Scillaboy		2			3	2
		Followed		2			2	2
		hillada Mari						
		Pad Arr	and the second second				2	,
		ir endry wi		2		2	2	3
	No	malisa	i Nilai De	ita Alterna	est.			
						1		
		Scillaboy	N/S	8.47		6.67		4.47
		matterial	Bendu	0.47		0.47	10.007	12.467
		Ishak Reh	NAMES IN COLUMN	8.67			0.67	
		Rull Am	annyatt heige				0.67	
		transport					0.67	
	Har	sil Akhir						
			Corporate Other	wei(F) 60		C ARAD		
		THERE.		Care a	the local	Figure	Bernet	"an age of
		200011	Red Among	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	00.7		Page 2500 (114)	540%, 13 (sev 2020)
		200121	margaret.		10.7		1912/1010/014	Selles 27 Nov. 2000
	1 A A	300/12	In College 1		-		74-1-10-100	Manager, 7 April 2003
		200313	the block	-	2017		Page 2 (2011) 1935	Salven, 13 Auro (2020)

Figure 8. Display of SAW Calculation Form

5. Conclusion

From the results of the author's research, several conclusions can be drawn, namely with the decision support system for giving bonuses to employees at PT. Mayatama Solusindo, the company will find it easier to determine the number of employee bonuses in accordance with the company's criteria, namely Supervisory Assessment (PA), Length of Work, Attendance and Warning Letters (SP) and data storage is more accurate than the old system entered into the system database. The appraisal process in determining employee bonuses at PT. Mayatama Solusindo with the Simple Addtive Weigthing (SAW) method, namely the administration of determining the weight value of each assessment criterion, such as the assessment of bosses with a weight value of 30%, length of work with a weight value of 10%, attendance with a weight value of 40% and a warning letter with a weight value of 20. %. Decision Support System for determining employee bonuses at PT. Mayatama Solusindo was designed using the SAW method (Simple Addtive Weigthing Method) with supporting software, namely Notepad ++ and a database using Xampp.

References

Adianto, T. R., Arifin, Z., & Khairina, D.M. (2017). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Rumah Tinggal Di Perumahan Menggunakan Metode Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) (Studi Kasus : Kota Samarinda). *Prosiding Seminar Ilmu Komputer dan Teknologi Informasi*, 2(1),197–201.https://sl131. Ilearning.me/wp-content/uploads/sites/1757/2019/11/prosespengembangan-1.pdf

- Brata, D. W., & Whidyanto, B. (2017). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penentuan Gaji Bonus Karyawan Pada Restoran KL Express Dengan Metode TOPSIS. Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi Informasi Asia, 11(1), 101-112.
- Fauzan, R., Indrasary, Y., & Muhtia, N. (2017). Sistem Pendukung Penerimaan Beasiswa Bidik Misi di POLIBAN dengan Metode SAW Berbasis Web. JOIN (Jurnal Online Informatika), 2(2), 79–83.https://DOI: 10.15575/ join. v2i2.101
- Andi Fauzi, М., Moch Mukeri Warso., Tri Haryono. (2016). Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi Dan Kepuasan Kerja Kerhadap Kinerja Karyawan Dengan Komitmen Organisasi Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Kasus Pada Karyawan PT. Toys Games Indonesia Semarang).
- Ikbal, P. & Rahma, H. (2016). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penentuan Bonus Sales Di PT. Master Dumai. *Jurnal Matematika, Manajemen dan Komputer*,49-54.
- Ichniowski, C., & Shaw, K. (2003). Beyond incentive pay: Insiders' estimates of the value of complementary human resource management practices. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 17(1), 155-180.
- Irawan, Y., & Simamora, S. O. (2019). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan untuk Menentukan Kegiatan Ekstrakurikuler Berdasarkan Bakat dan Minat Menggunakan Metode SAW (Simple Additive Weighting). JTIM: Jurnal Teknologi Informasi dan Multimedia, 1(3), 198-205.
- Jaberidoost, M., Olfat, L., Hosseini, A., Kebriaeezadeh, A., Abdollahi, M., Alaeddini, M., & Dinarvand, R. (2015). Pharmaceutical supply chain risk assessment in Iran using analytic hierarchy process (AHP) and simple additive weighting (SAW) methods. Journal of pharmaceutical policy and practice, 8(1), 9.
- Joni, S. (2017). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemberian Reward Kepada Karyawan Berdasarkan Penilaian Kinerja Dengan Menggunakan Simple Additive Weighting. *Artikel Skripsi Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri*, 2-9.
- Meta, A. D. (2018). Simple Additive Weighting Dalam Pengembangan Sistem Penunjang Keputusan Penentuan Bonus Karyawan Pada PTPN III Sei Karang.
- Wahyuni, R., & Irawan, Y. (2020). Web-Based Employee Performance Assessment System in PT. Wifiku Indonesia. Journal of Applied Engineering and Technological Science (JAETS), 1(2), 60-69.
- Yazdani, M., Zarate, P., Coulibaly, A., & Zavadskas, E. K. (2017). A group decision making support system in logistics and supply chain management. *Expert systems with Applications*, 88, 376-392.